



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,206	07/24/2001		Jason Gauci	2754	8122	
7:	590	04/25/2002				
Niro, Scavone, Haller & Niro				EXAMINER		
Suite 4600 181 W. Madiso			MORRISON, NASCHICA SANDERS			
Chicago, IL 60	0602			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3632		
				DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\perp			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/912,206	GAUCI, JASON				
onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Naschica S Morrison	3632				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, now within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 L	<u>December 2001</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	•	* •				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-14 is/are pending in the application	ın.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		1.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	t.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>7/23/01 & 12/28/01</u> is/ar	re: a)□ accepted or b)	⊠ objected to by the Examiner .				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>28 De</u>		approved b) disapproved by the Examine	er			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	i. ,	·			
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	,			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the second Office Action for serial number 09/912,206, Outdoor Light Mounting Bracket, filed on July 24, 2001. Claims 1-10 have been cancelled. Claims 11-14 are pending.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference signs not mentioned in the description: numeral 53. It appears Applicant proposed modifications to page 5, line 16 in the marked up copy of the specification (see page 1 of Amendment filed 12/28/01); however these changes were not incorporated into the specification because a clean copy of the paragraph was not provided.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "46" has been used to designate both the fastener (Fig. 2) and projection (Fig. 1). Correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: on lines 6 and 7,
-- upper and lower-- should be inserted before "projections" and "apertures" for
consistency. Appropriate correction is required.

. Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent 4,222,093 to Garcia et al. (Garcia). With regards to claims 11-14, Garcia discloses a light fixture (as mounted on a vertical wall) comprising; a first support section (18) including one upper projection (50) having a threaded portion (52) coacting with a threaded element (54) and one upperwardly angled lower projection (48) positioned to align with the upper (defined between 44) and lower (40) apertures on a second support (16), whereby the lower projection is first insertable through the lower aperture to permit resting of the light fixture thereon and to be upwardly rotated so that the upper projection is inserted into the upper aperture to secure the light fixture (20) to the second support (16). Regarding claim 11, Garcia does not teach the first and second supports including a pair of opposingly located projections and apertures. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the light fixture by providing an additional projection on the first support (18) and an additional corresponding aperture (40) on the second support (16) because one would have been motivated to provide additional support for the light fixture (20) when suspended therefrom. Additionally, Garcia does not teach the first support including the apertures and the second support including the

. Art Unit: 3632

projections. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the first support to include the aperture and the second support to include the projections since a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device is known to involve only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claim 12, although Garcia does not disclose the lower aperture being a notch, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the first section by including notches as opposed to holes because the selection of a hole versus a notch is a matter of design choice and the function of securing the projection to the first section would remain unchanged.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

6,155,093 to Leen discloses a light fixture and support structure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Naschica S. Morrison, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0228. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156. The fax machine telephone number for the Technology Center is (703) 305-3598 (formal amendments) or (703) 308-3686 (informal amendment/communication).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this Application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at (703) 308-2168.

Naschica S. Morrison

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3632

4/19/02

ANITA KING //

Application/Control Number: 09/912,206

, Art Unit: 3632

Notice Regarding Treatment of Irradiated Correspondence

The following papers have not been made part of the permanent records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) for this application (37 CFR 1.52(a)) because of damage from the United States Postal Service irradiation process:

Mailroom Stamp Date	Certificate of Mailing Date
2/4/02	12/28/01
<u> </u>	

The above-identified papers, however, were not so damaged as to preclude the USPTO from making a legible copy of such papers. Therefore, the Office has made a copy of these papers, substituted them for the originals in the file, and stamped that copy:

COPY	OF PA	PERS
ORIGII	NALLY	FILED

If applicant wants to review the accuracy of the Office's copy of such papers, applicant may either inspect the application (37 CFR 1.14(d)) or may request a copy of the Office's records of such papers (*i.e.*, a copy of the copy made by the Office) from the Office of Public Records for the fee specified in 37 CFR 1.19(b)(4). Please do **not** call the Technology Center's Customer Service Center to inquiry about the completeness or accuracy of Office's copy of the above-identified papers, as the Technology Center's Customer Service Center will **not** be able to provide this service.

If applicant does not consider the Office's copy of such papers to be accurate, applicant must provide a copy of the above-identified papers (except for any U.S. or foreign patent documents submitted with the above-identified papers) with a statement that such copy is a complete and accurate copy of the originally submitted documents. If applicant provides such a copy of the above-identified papers and statement within **THREE MONTHS** of the mail date of this Office action, the Office will add the original mailroom date and use the copy provided by applicant as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers in place of the copy made by the Office. Otherwise, the Office's copy will be used as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers (*i.e.*, the Office will use the copy of the above-identified papers made by the Office for examination and all other purposes). This three-month period is not extendable.